

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/025,803	OJIMA, NORIAKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gary C. Vieaux	2612	

All Participants:

(1) Gary C. Vieaux.

(2) James Kulbaski, #34,648.
Status of Application: In Condition for Allowance

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2006
Time: 11:35 am
Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 6, 7, 11, 13 16, and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Examiner's Amendment to Title to now read "AUTOMATIC FOCUS CONTROL BASED ON VOLTAGE, TEMPERATURE, AND POWER SUPPLY", correction of the spelling of "adapter" on page 2 of the specification, and Examiner's Amendments to better define claims 1, 3, 6, 7, 11, 13 16, and 17.

Regarding claim 11, addition of the language "(vertical synchronous signal)" to clarify "VD" .

Regarding claims 1 and 11, replacement of the language "apparatus" to "digital camera".

Regarding claims 3 and 13, replacement of the language "allows a user to select the" with "grants", and adding "in accordance with user input." to the end of each claim, respectively.

Regarding claims 6 and 16, replacement of the language "allows a user to select the" with "grants", and adding "in accordance with user input." to the end of each claim, respectively.

Regarding claims 7 and 17, replacement of the language "wherein" with "further comprising an input device configured to allow", deleting the language "is allowed".